

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

INDEX

Witness	Examination
Reuben Grandon.	4
Kelten Sharp.	5
By Kristine Donarski	30
By Chris Noll.	49
By Connie Stetson.	67
By Chris Noll.	76
By David Flaherty.	80
By Shirley Ann Smith	82
By Reuben Grandon.	84
By Shirley Ann Smith	87
By Karen Nerad	90
By Chris Noll.	92
By Pam Flaherty.	92
By Tim Pratt	93
Certificate of Shorthand Reporter	99

1 MR. WELBERS: Okay. We will do this a
2 little bit differently, in that basically we put
3 on the table --

4 MS. DONARSKI: Can you talk a little
5 louder, please?

6 MR. WELBERS: As soon as I clear my throat
7 out, I will.

8 MS. DONARSKI: Okay.

9 MR. WELBERS: The last time we were
10 together, we put onto the table the application
11 from Ladd Solar 2.

12 MS. DONARSKI: We recessed our meeting.

13 MR. WELBERS: We recessed our meeting.

14 MS. DONARSKI: Yup.

15 MR. WELBERS: So we're unrecessing.

16 MS. DONARSKI: Right.

17 MR. WELBERS: And as I recall, we had
18 accomplished the Applicant, Reuben's, testimony
19 and all the cross-examination of Reuben. So
20 then it was time to move on with the Applicant,
21 with their case, with the next witness.

22 So do you have a further witness tonight
23 for this one?

24 MR. GRANDON: Yeah, we do. It's myself,

1 I'm here, Reuben Grandon, and I'm joined by --

2 MS. DONARSKI: Come forward, please.

3 MR. GRANDON: Sure. Just start things
4 off --

5 REUBEN GRANDON,
6 being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

7 MS. NEMETH: State your name and address
8 for the record.

9 MR. GRANDON: Reuben Grandon, R-E-U-B-E-N,
10 last name, Grandon, G-R-A-N-D-O-N, 3519
11 Northeast 15th Avenue, Number 325, Portland,
12 Oregon, 97212.

13 MR. WELBERS: What would you like to do
14 next?

15 MR. GRANDON: All right. So, thank you.
16 Appreciate the ability to come back and present
17 for the Ladd Solar 2 Conditional Use Permit
18 application, as well as the two Variations from
19 the Village of Ladd and the Village of Cherry.

20 I'll be here to testify tonight, but I
21 believe my part of the testimony was already
22 concluded last week, and so I have with me our
23 engineer from Kimley-Horn, Mr. Kelten Sharp, and
24 also our Counsel from Polsinelli, Mr. Mark

1 Brady, in the back row. They are both here with
2 me tonight, as well.

3 If I remember correctly, it was ready to
4 be -- ready to be time for our second witness to
5 testify --

6 MR. WELBERS: That is correct.

7 MR. GRANDON: The engineer, Mr. Kelten
8 Sharp.

9 MR. WELBERS: That's the way I recall it
10 as well. So if you would like to bring him
11 forward.

12 MR. GRANDON: Sounds good. Thank you.

13 KELTEN SHARP,
14 being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

15 MS. NEMETH: Can you please state your
16 name and address for the record.

17 MR. SHARP: Kelten Sharp, 2618 Queen
18 Avenue North, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 55411.

19 MS. NEMETH: 55411?

20 MR. SHARP: Yup.

21 MS. DONARSKI: How do you spell your last
22 name, Kelten.

23 MR. SHARP: Sharp, S-H-A-R-P. Kelten is
24 K-E-L-T-E-N.

1 MS. NEMETH: E-N?

2 MR. SHARP: Yup.

3 MR. WELBERS: Go ahead, sir, begin your
4 presentation.

5 MR. SHARP: So Kelten Sharp here. I am
6 here to answer questions and testify to kind of
7 the experience I have. I have been in the solar
8 industry for about six years. I focus on civil
9 engineering and that field.

10 So my background here, graduated from
11 college in Northern Minnesota, was very
12 interested in renewables and knew that they were
13 going to be a big impact on our, you know,
14 future. Part of that included growing up in a
15 rural farming community in Southern Minnesota
16 and spending a lot of time in rural farming
17 communities in Wisconsin and seeing that
18 landscape change over time. So I wanted to make
19 sure that the land was being taken care of in a
20 good way, watching, you know, my elders take
21 care of the land as well.

22 So similar to Reuben, I had my first job
23 as a detasseler in Southern Minnesota. I have
24 lots of friends from 4-H and State Fair time.

1 All of those things have kind of shaped my
2 experience, you know, developing solar in rural
3 Illinois.

4 So my experience, specifically in
5 Illinois, I have done about 30 of these hearings
6 over the last six years for different solar
7 projects across the whole state. Some of them
8 have gone well and smoothly, some of them have
9 lots of questions, and my job here is to just
10 answer those questions for anybody, to make sure
11 that you feel comfortable with this development
12 happening.

13 Of those 30 projects, eight of those went
14 to full construction and are currently in
15 operation. In addition to those eight, an
16 additional ten have, what we call, issued for
17 construction documents, which means that they
18 have all of the final engineering completed.

19 In addition to just my experience in
20 Illinois, I have also done projects across the
21 entire country, ranging from half a megawatt all
22 the way to 800 megawatts. So lots of range of
23 sites, lots of range of regulations that we have
24 to meet. So part of my job is making sure that

1 these projects meet those regulations from an
2 engineering standpoint.

3 So one of the things that -- you know, I
4 was able to attend last hearing. One of the
5 things that I heard a lot about was stormwater
6 concerns, and so I want to kind of start in on
7 that. That's kind of my expertise when I am
8 running, you know, the construction document
9 creation of these projects. I am the one
10 working with my team to develop the stormwater
11 calculations for runoff.

12 And so we're looking at what the existing
13 rainfall data is based on historic data from the
14 different regions. Now, specifically here in
15 Illinois, there are counties that require
16 different specific rainfall data, and so we take
17 the localized rainfall data and all of the
18 information from your area, including wind
19 speeds, including, you know, historic flood
20 data. And all of that's documented online. We
21 pull from those most up-to-date resources, and
22 those resources continually get updated. But
23 the most recent data is what's used and often
24 required by the Code.

1 So focusing on the stormwater, we're
2 looking at taking agricultural land and turning
3 it into permanent vegetation for the next 30 to
4 40 years, depending on the, you know, lifetime
5 of this project. That is going to provide a
6 couple benefits both for stormwater and for
7 erosion.

8 So erosion happens when you have got bare
9 soil over a long period of time. This solar
10 site, while it's in operation, will have
11 permanent vegetation cover 365 days a year.
12 That changes from farming practices, which have
13 open cropland for a portion of the year,
14 depending on that specific field's, you know,
15 crop rotation and cover crop planting.

16 With the type of vegetation that's
17 selected for this site -- it's a native
18 vegetation that has a pollinator mix with it --
19 those plants are very deep-rooted plants, and
20 they are going to provide a much stronger, deep
21 erosion control measure than what you would get
22 from a turf grass or, you know, your annual crop
23 rotation.

24 So benefits there, to hold the ground in

1 place and make sure that that land is able to be
2 returned to farmland in 30 to 40 years.

3 All of that is also documented in the
4 AIMA. That AIMA makes sure that everybody
5 involved in this project has their best interest
6 covered from a state level. There's been a lot
7 of input from many different parties that I have
8 worked with specifically in Illinois, including
9 drain tile companies that have created details
10 to make sure that those drain tiles get repaired
11 to an equivalent or functioning level, sometimes
12 improving the existing condition.

13 So that's -- we'll start going into the
14 stormwater then. So stormwater runoff is
15 measured based on empirical data collected from
16 different soil types and then the vegetation
17 cover. So for example, your asphalt out in the
18 parking lot is going to have a curve number
19 that's close to a hundred. So we look at that
20 as kind of a -- it's 98, is what we use.

21 What that means is, it's not actually
22 soaking in any of the water that lands on it.
23 It's all running off and having to find another
24 spot to get into the ground and infiltrate. So

1 there's four different soil groups that we work
2 with, and then there's additionally lots of
3 different land cover uses.

4 But when we look at cropland throughout
5 all of those different soil conditions and then
6 compare that to permanent vegetation after we
7 plant, you know, the crop that will cover -- or
8 the native vegetation that will cover the solar
9 field for the life of it, that change actually
10 reduces that number from that 98, and then for
11 cropland it's right around 84, and then low 70s
12 for anything with meadow grass on it. So what
13 you're looking at is, you're assuming that that
14 ground is then able to absorb more water where
15 it lands.

16 One of the concerns I also heard was the
17 solar modules preventing that from getting in
18 there. So similar to your impervious surface,
19 like asphalt or a roof, you are going to have
20 water landing on a hard surface. The difference
21 between your roof and the solar modules is that
22 as you go -- I'm going to just visually do this
23 quick. So you have got solar modules running
24 throughout the day, but they're always going to

1 have a drip edge that's adjacent to another
2 solar module, and underneath that solar module
3 there's vegetation growing. So as this water
4 runs off, hits the ground, it's automatically
5 going to hit that pervious surface, where
6 there's grass growing that's going to absorb
7 that water at that curve number that's improved
8 from the row crop.

9 Then what we're looking at is, as each of
10 those run off, it's going to also infiltrate
11 through flow underneath the adjacent modules.
12 So you're always going to have the equivalent of
13 your solar panel surface in absorption next to
14 it. So as we look at curve numbers and our soil
15 and water calcs, you see improvements --
16 significant improvements between row crop to
17 meadow grass.

18 The other part and component of that is
19 that as a development project, solar facilities
20 are subject to SWPPP permit, so Stormwater
21 Pollution Prevention Plans. Those permits
22 require us by law to not have any erosion that's
23 happening on site leave the site. So we use
24 things like a silt fence, a fiber log, sometimes

1 temporary stormwater basins, to prevent any of
2 that erosion from leaving the site.

3 That is all prescriptive of the stormwater
4 pollution prevention plan, and it is site-
5 specific conditions that we are required to
6 meet. So this site, because it's been already
7 signed into an AIMA and because the Bureau
8 County regulations require it and the State
9 level require -- State level requirements
10 require that we have a SWPPP permit, this
11 project will need to withhold and maintain those
12 standards throughout the lifetime of the
13 construction of the project.

14 Once the construction is done, that SWPPP
15 is closed out. That is more so your erosion
16 during construction, when you have bare ground.
17 So once all the ground has been covered and we
18 have significant enough ground cover, which is
19 70 percent coverage -- and that 70 percent
20 coverage is not 70 percent of it's, you know,
21 growing grass and then there's 30 percent that's
22 completely bare. It's actually 70 percent
23 density. So the whole site actually has to
24 still have vegetation growing across it before

1 that permit can be closed out.

2 And so that protects the land, it protects
3 adjacent landowners, and makes sure that during
4 construction those practices are being followed;
5 those best management practices.

6 Additionally, we have to meet the Bureau
7 County requirements and the State law
8 requirements that state that we cannot increase
9 runoff leaving our site. So when I look at the
10 site starting off, I'm going to go and I'm going
11 to look at the different land covers and what
12 the precondition is, which would be row crops
13 currently, and the post-condition is, which
14 would be permanent vegetation, and I'm going to
15 compare those.

16 Then I'm going to see in our hydraulic
17 tool that we use, called HydroCAD, I'm going to
18 take that runoff and I'm going to calculate are
19 we decreasing it and are we meeting the Code
20 requirements? And if we are not, then we are
21 going to look at other ways to reduce the runoff
22 leaving the site. Those can include stormwater
23 berms that hold water back and slow it down so
24 that as you have the peak storm event, it's

1 holding water on site and not leaving the site.

2 Or you can also do stormwater basins. The
3 size of these projects, it's not likely to see
4 those because they're most likely not going to
5 be required based on the type of development.

6 So that covers stormwater. I'm going to
7 get into a few other items that I heard brought
8 up, because I want to make sure that all of the,
9 kind of, topics that were brought up as topics
10 of concern by the Village of Ladd are covered,
11 and I want to make sure that you're able to
12 hear, kind of, testimony from me, mostly because
13 I have seen plenty of these projects and I am
14 ultimately responsible for the engineering for a
15 lot of these projects, and so want to make sure
16 that you guys feel informed.

17 I think the next piece here is focusing on
18 the initial comment from the Village of Ladd,
19 which was that not a ton of information was made
20 available. So part of the Conditional Use
21 Permit lays out -- or all of the Conditional Use
22 Permit lays out exactly what's required to be
23 provided information-wise to get this
24 Conditional Use Permit.

1 The Conditional Use Permit is the very
2 beginning stages of this project. The detailed
3 engineering, where all of the equipment is
4 selected, where all of the stormwater calcs that
5 I just talked about are completed, that's the
6 next step after you get this approval. But
7 without this approval, we don't really have a
8 project to even design because we're not legally
9 able to do anything with the land until we get
10 this Conditional approval.

11 I will say that this application has more
12 information than a number of projects that I
13 have seen go through that meet the requirements
14 but don't necessarily provide the additional
15 information that Reuben and GreenKey have gone
16 out and actually gotten; things like going and
17 seeing where mines are located within a radius
18 from the project site; the actual signed,
19 completed AIMA is oftentimes not required until
20 a building permit application or until you're
21 through the CUP.

22 So there's a lot of extra pieces here that
23 go a long way in making sure that you have
24 information to make a good decision here.

In Totidem Verbis, LLC (ITV)
815.453.2260

In Totidem Verbis, LLC (ITV)

1 Additionally, I heard some about soil
2 compaction. Reuben addressed it really well
3 last time. But essentially, those deep-rooted
4 systems that we have from the vegetation
5 management plan -- and again, the vegetation
6 management plan is one of those, you know, more
7 detailed things that were provided. Those
8 specific vegetation types that are selected in
9 there actually will help restore the soil and
10 capture the nitrogen and phosphorus that have --
11 typically are fertilizers that you have to put
12 on the land.

13 After that, you know, 25 to 40 years,
14 depending on the project lifecycle -- and I have
15 seen projects that are at 25; that's why I
16 referenced that -- that land has been studied
17 similar to how you would put a parcel into CRP.
18 We actually see improvement in those soils long
19 term.

20 So those soils have better nutrients built
21 into them. It gives the land a little bit of
22 time to rest and redevelop and it actually
23 decompacts their natural processes. Just like
24 your gardens over the years, you know, you have

1 root systems and decaying plant matter, those
2 all build up and create a really great
3 biodiverse soil that actually reduces the
4 compaction long term.

5 The next one I'll cover is noise. So
6 there's been a number of projects that I have
7 seen go through noise studies. My company,
8 Kimley-Horn, has done a number of noise studies
9 for projects across the state of Illinois.
10 Those noise studies have resulted in the fact
11 that all of those sites meet the Pollution
12 Control Agency's levels of allowable background
13 noise for the electrical equipment at the
14 property line.

15 So there are State-level mandates that
16 require solar projects to meet the noise levels
17 at a certain distance from the facility. Those
18 noise levels are measured before the facility's
19 operating and after, at different intervals, to
20 ensure that the project is in compliance. So
21 those have been set at a State level.

22 Furthermore, I think the thing that helps
23 people understand what those noise levels are,
24 your dishwasher running in the next room is

1 louder than what you're going to be able to hear
2 at the edge of the property line with where
3 these inverters are placed.

4 The inverters are very similar to the same
5 inverters that sit outside of this building. So
6 if you walk by those, you don't hear those.
7 Those are mostly background noise in your
8 everyday life. Those green boxes, same type of
9 equipment.

10 So there are similar components to these
11 solar facilities that are all around us in
12 public that we just don't really pay attention
13 to because they're just part of our everyday
14 life.

15 The other piece is that these facilities
16 are closed to the public. So when you're
17 looking at actual access to get as close as you
18 could get to an inverter sitting next to a
19 building, you're actually not going to be able
20 to get that close because they're required by
21 Code to be protected with a security fence to
22 keep public out.

23 Next one I'm going to touch on is EMF, and
24 I actually have a report from the Journal of

1 Occupational and Environmental Hygiene. This is
2 essentially medical documentation available
3 online that indicates that studies were done.

4 (Exhibit Number 8 marked for
5 identification.)

6 MR. SHARP: Okay. So "Electromagnetic
7 Fields Associated with Commercial Solar
8 Photovoltaic Electric Power Generating
9 Facilities." So long title. It is a medical
10 article. Essentially what they have done is,
11 they have gone out and they have tested the EMF
12 levels on solar sites that are currently
13 operational at different sizes. Those numbers
14 in the report are compared to allowable levels
15 of, essentially, that same EMF for professional
16 workers, occupational workers, that would be
17 working around this type of equipment.

18 And I want to just highlight, on Page 801
19 of that document, the last paragraph under
20 Figure 9, it says: Measured DC fields did not
21 exceed 0.3 mT, with readings at about this level
22 adjacent to an inverter and a transformer.

23 So that's the largest, kind of, electric
24 components of the site.

1 IEEE's "controlled" limit for DC magnetic
2 fields is 0.353 T.

3 Which means that: Thus, the maximum DC
4 fields measured are about 1,200 times lower than
5 IEEE's "controlled" limit, and 7,000 times lower
6 than the occupational limit; these fields are
7 also about 400 and 1300, respectively, times
8 lower than the general public limits.

9 So again, similar to walking by an
10 inverter outside, those levels are safe from
11 both medical providers doing these studies and
12 also industry standards set by occupational
13 entities making sure that their workers are kept
14 safe.

15 Next we're going to go into the
16 decommissioning plan. So Kimley-Horn has done
17 many decommissioning plans over the years, and I
18 have personally done over two dozen of them
19 specifically in Illinois. And I just wanted to
20 put some, I guess, additional information out
21 there to put your minds at ease around who's
22 responsible for those costs and what the
23 likelihood, essentially, of the project going
24 under during that original 10-year step-up of

1 Bureau County's and the AIMA's, kind of,
2 decommissioning.

3 So the -- there's a couple, you know,
4 pieces. One of them that was noted last week
5 was just that project owners -- so the actual
6 financial owners of these projects -- have very
7 sophisticated financing agreements. It's part
8 of the reason that in my industry we call it the
9 solar coaster. Because projects come and go,
10 you know, off of pause, essentially, because of
11 lots of different financing factors.

12 So when you get these finally established,
13 they are very, very well-thought out, very
14 well-funded, and the probability of them, you
15 know, not being funded by those exact owners is
16 very, very unlikely.

17 The most critical solar component -- so
18 the modules, the inverters, the big, expensive
19 equipment on site -- has longer-term, what they
20 call, original equipment manufacturer, OEM,
21 warranties. So it would be like you sitting
22 your microwave that you just paid, you know,
23 however much for, and it has, you know, a
24 three-year warranty on it, it would essentially

1 say you're going to walk away from that because
2 it's not working and is too much trouble to go
3 get the warranty. Nobody's going to do that.
4 You're going to go get the new microwave because
5 you just dumped a bunch of money on it.

6 Similar case here. They have really,
7 really expensive equipment that still has these
8 warranties for, you know, 5, 10, 15 years
9 throughout the lifetime of those different
10 components of the system. So within that first
11 10-year step-up, the likelihood of major
12 equipment failure not being covered
13 automatically by warranty is very unlikely.

14 Additionally, on top of that, if one
15 component of the solar facility stops working --
16 so let's say a module, for whatever reason, is
17 showing on their monitoring system as not
18 working -- that whole system doesn't just shut
19 down. It's one module, so one panel. The rest
20 of the system is still going to continue to
21 generate power.

22 So the money that's being generated and
23 the power that's being generated is still
24 valuable to the asset owners. And so at the end

1 of the day, that, you know, electricity isn't
2 going to be, you know, fully decommissioned
3 because one component is broken. They are going
4 to come out and fix it, and they're going to
5 replace it in kind so that that power production
6 can be regained.

7 There's two more points here. Most of
8 them -- most solar facilities are required to
9 carry insurance, just like you're required to
10 carry insurance on your home and your car. So
11 they're required to maintain replacement value
12 property damage insurance coverage and business
13 interruption insurance coverages. So there's
14 two insurance coverage that they are required to
15 carry. Those are going to help pay for anything
16 that would happen in that first ten years, where
17 the site is not fully funded to the County.

18 Additionally, typical solar businesses
19 have interruption insurance coverage that covers
20 income loss for 12 months. So let's say that
21 income loss happens because some component of
22 this facility is not effectively working
23 anymore, right, and there's interruption to
24 their revenue stream. They are going to have

1 coverage for 12 months of that income to be able
2 to help pay for that decommissioning.

3 So there's multiple sources of income and
4 revenue and funding that would make sure that in
5 that first ten years, while that's being stepped
6 up, there's plenty of coverage to make sure that
7 the County isn't stuck with the bill.

8 Two more -- I guess one other item here.
9 I did hear a reference to solar panels leaking.
10 I want to just put that concern to rest for you.
11 So similar to your cell phone, all of the metal
12 components in your cell phone are solid state.
13 There's no component of the solar modules that
14 are going to be in a liquid state, where they
15 could leak. They are all going to be solid.
16 They are a solid state, just like a solid state
17 drive in your computer and your cell phone.

18 Additionally, the only toxic metal in a
19 solar module -- and it's toxic because it has to
20 be recycled in a special avenue, right? It
21 can't just be thrown in your recycling bin. So
22 that's why it's considered hazardous. That lead
23 is used for soldering the components of the
24 module, and it's such a minuscule amount that

1 one shotgun shell contains 700 solar modules'
2 worth of lead in it.

3 So how many of you, you know, have
4 multiple shotgun shells sitting at home that
5 have lead in them? These solar modules have 700
6 times less than that.

7 I think that's covered most of the
8 concerns here. The last one that I want to
9 touch on is a little more beefy. It's the
10 concern about the vibration of the pile-driving
11 during construction for the mines that are
12 located as indicated on the documentation
13 provided last or two weeks ago now.

14 So what I'm handing out now is the New
15 Hampshire DOT Research Record on Ground
16 Vibrations Emanating from Construction
17 Equipment. So this is their final report, and
18 it was developed in cooperation with the US DOT
19 and the Federal Highway Administration. So the
20 equations, the engineering data provided here in
21 this document were developed from a federal
22 level kind of requirement in engineering.

23 So there's a couple figures I want to pay
24 attention to. One of them is going to be on

1 Page 14, Figure 12.

2 And I want to just remind everybody that
3 the solar facility, as it's designed currently
4 and meeting the current specifications of Bureau
5 County, the fence line has to be 50 feet away
6 from the property line, the adjacent property
7 line, and then an additional 20 feet is where
8 the solar modules are installed, because per NEC
9 Code you need to have enough space between the
10 fence and the solar module to ensure that
11 grounding can occur. So that's NEC Code that
12 has to be met.

13 Then finally, within that, the piles are
14 installed down the center of a row. So there's
15 another 3 to 4 feet. So we're talking right now
16 that we're at least 70 -- let's call it 70 feet
17 even from the edge of a property line.

18 So in Figure 12, it has a table listed of
19 the peak ground velocity of vibrations through
20 the soil parameters and the construction
21 sources. And at 1 inch -- less than 1 inch per
22 second we have pile-driving at 50 feet away. So
23 essentially at your fence line, 50 feet away.

24 The effects of the vibration on structural

1 damage is very safe to buildings, it's -- you
2 know, if you were standing at the property line
3 while they're pile-driving and it was only
4 50 feet away, it might be strongly noticeable or
5 unpleasant, similar to how you feel the trucks
6 going by the house and shaking it.

7 And the human exposure limits are beyond
8 24 hours, which means that the amount of time
9 that that pile-driving is going to be happening
10 at iterations is much less than the safety level
11 for human exposure to that vibration.

12 Additionally, we want to look at does that
13 have an impact on the mines? So at 50 feet
14 away, it's unpleasant but it's very safe to
15 buildings. And that closest mine is 300-plus
16 feet away. So by the time you get that far away
17 from the actual pile-driving, the effects on the
18 existing mine structures are well within limits
19 of safety that have been, you know, put out by
20 the Federal Highway Administration based on
21 empirical data studying vibrations from a
22 distance of different construction equipment.

23 I'm going to look a little bit further
24 into this document. There is a couple more

1 tables in here. There's the vibration levels
2 for human reaction and effect on buildings at
3 different levels of continuous vibrations. So
4 that means that is going on and on and on and
5 on.

6 So the threshold of perception is 0.019.
7 The level at which continuous vibrations begin
8 to annoy people is 0.1. And vibrations
9 considered unpleasant is 0.4 to 0.6. So the 0.4
10 to 0.6 is the pile-driving kind of vibrations at
11 50 feet away.

12 So, again, anything that's at that
13 property line is going to be unpleasant if you
14 go stand by it, but it's not going to actually
15 cause damage and it's not going to be
16 detrimental to your health.

17 And then the last table I'm going to just
18 bring -- or I guess the last piece of this that
19 I'm going to bring up is that these are very,
20 very conservative estimates. Actually doing the
21 math behind the particle vibration through soil
22 is extremely difficult. Because if you look at
23 your soil composition, it can vary
24 significantly. So what they have done is, they

1 have gone a very conservative route, assuming
2 that your ground is very stiff, which means it's
3 going to carry more vibrations.

4 If you have clay soils or nice topsoil or
5 sandy soils, those are all going to make fewer
6 vibrations than what's listed here.

7 So when we are looking at the actual
8 impact of those vibrations from the pile-
9 driving, the other thing I want to just point
10 out is that we have other facilities that have
11 been installed with this same methodology, and
12 we haven't seen any disturbance to things, like
13 Reuben indicated, wells, neighboring properties;
14 and much closer proximity than what we're
15 talking here.

16 I think that covers everything I wanted to
17 make sure that you got kind of right off the
18 bat. But I believe I get questions now.

19 MR. WELBERS: Yes.

20 MS. DONARSKI: I do have a number of
21 questions for you.

22 MR. SHARP: Yes.

23 EXAMINATION

24 BY MS. DONARSKI:

1 Q. So starting out from the beginning. Kelten,
2 what was name of the company that you work for?

3 A. Kimley-Horn.

4 Q. Okay. And --

5 A. And --

6 Q. Go ahead.

7 A. I'll just state, just to be fully transparent,
8 my -- I also worked for a company called EBS.
9 They do the same, exact type of work. That's
10 where I have been at other testimony
11 opportunities.

12 Q. Okay. Did you do -- personally do some of the
13 engineering work, like for the stormwater
14 calculations and things that you testified, for
15 this project, Ladd 2 or Ladd 3?

16 A. Ladd 2 and Ladd 3 do not have that level of
17 engineering completed to date. I was speaking
18 to the process that will need to occur for any
19 solar development and solar developments that I
20 have completed to date.

21 Q. So kind of in general but not specific to this
22 site --

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. -- at this time?

1 A. Yeah, correct.

2 Q. Okay. How long -- when a new solar field like
3 this is put up, how long -- after the support
4 rods are put into the ground, how long does it
5 take to actually establish a ground cover so
6 that the erosion doesn't happen? What's that
7 period of time for it to grow in there?

8 A. Yup. So specifically for Ladd 2 and Ladd 3,
9 the vegetation management plan specifies a cover
10 crop be put in place immediately before
11 construction starts. That cover crop includes
12 oats and some other deep-rooted cover crops that
13 will be able to be kind of beat out by the
14 native vegetation when that gets established as
15 permanent.

16 It's very standard to do that temporary
17 cover. It actually benefits a ton, because it
18 makes sure that you're starting from something
19 that's not bare earth. Just like if you were to
20 drive through your muddy yard versus your grassy
21 yard, that's going to provide a lot more
22 stability for that soil.

23 So this establishment period, essentially
24 they'll start construction once they have that

1 temporary cover crop done. Then as the, you
2 know, piles are driven and different components
3 are completed, they'll spot treat for any bare
4 spots, which is highlighted in that vegetation
5 management plan.

6 Then the cover crop, again, we can't
7 remove those BMPs, or best management practices,
8 as part of the SWPPP. So the silt fence, the
9 fiber log, whatever we kind of prescriptively
10 put out there to make sure erosion isn't
11 happening, we can't remove those until the
12 vegetation is completely established.

13 Typically I see that happening within six
14 months, and that is very typical of a solar
15 project of this size.

16 Q. And do they ever do any kind of, like -- how
17 would I say this -- specialized plantings, like
18 for, like, visual barriers or things like that
19 around people's homes and things like that, to
20 kind of minimize, like, EMFs that you talked
21 about and some of the other possible effects?
22 Is that something that's commonly used with a
23 solar project like this?

24 A. So I would call that a vegetative screen. I

1 have seen them established on a number of
2 projects as an option. I would say that when it
3 comes to EMF, they are not necessarily going to
4 impact that. Again, the levels are so miniscule
5 that even -- they are 700 times less than the
6 safe operating level.

7 The vegetative screen, the thing that it
8 will help with is viewshed. What I would say is
9 that more often than not I have seen successful
10 visual impact mitigation happen when a
11 developer, like GreenKey, and the landowner come
12 to an agreement between themselves where those
13 vegetation components can be planted at an
14 optimal location that actually blocks the
15 viewshed and it's not just put kind of at the
16 edge of the fence.

17 You think about it, if I hold -- you know,
18 a similar impact when people are holding, you
19 know, the Mount Rushmore. As you get further
20 away, you're going to have more visual impact if
21 there's something blocking you right there.
22 Versus if it's way by the edge of the fence, the
23 solar array, it's not going to block your
24 viewshed to the same level that you're going to

1 want it to.

2 Additionally, we can speck out trees at
3 6 feet at time of planting. That is very
4 standard practice. It ensures that you have a
5 tree that can survive and grow. What I have
6 seen is that those trees do take a little while
7 to establish. So those first couple years,
8 you're not really getting that viewshed blocking
9 that I think a lot of people are looking for.

10 So I think there's a mixed bag on kind of
11 how effective they are. And, again, the most
12 successful situations I have seen is where
13 landowners that want their, you know, viewshed
14 taken care of work with the developer.

15 Q. Okay. And now on the stormwater runoff, if
16 there's, like, a condition -- let's say the
17 ditch along, let's say, Route 89, that needs to
18 be addressed -- do you work with the State then
19 to address those drainage issues? Or how does
20 that work, when there's, like, the State
21 involved?

22 A. So are you -- can I get a clarification on the
23 question? Are you talking about drainage
24 improvements for that ditchway because it floods

1 frequently and the culvert needs to be upsized,
2 or are you talking about, like, the -- you know,
3 the culvert needs to make sure it's meeting the
4 conditions because it's included in the project
5 parcel?

6 Q. I was talking about putting the culvert in and
7 facilitating drainage through that culvert --

8 A. Existing drainage.

9 Q. -- or if something came off of the land, that
10 it naturally drains to the ditch --

11 A. Yup.

12 Q. -- if there are improvements made on the
13 right-of-way to try to help facilitate that
14 drainage.

15 A. Yup. So within the right-of-way, we, as the
16 engineer, have to aid in applying for
17 essentially that access permit. That access
18 permit is the responsibility of the EOR to
19 design -- EOR is engineer of record -- and then
20 they have to work with whoever's right-of-way
21 that is.

22 So if we have multiple entrances -- which
23 I have had projects where we have had entrances
24 off of County roads, State roads, local roads --

1 we have had to work with each of those entities
2 individually to make sure that their access
3 meets their, you know, local requirements.

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. So in this case I believe the Illinois DOT
6 would be the right-of-way owner there.

7 Q. Okay. Moving on to your -- talk about your
8 exhibit here there you gave with this, App
9 Number 8, "Electromagnetic Fields Associated
10 with Commercial Solar Photovoltaic Electric
11 Power Generating Facilities."

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. I noticed that that was dated November 2015.
14 Does the -- the solar fields and equipment of
15 today, are they about the same as the equipment
16 that was studied for this report? Are they
17 bigger or more powerful? Are they less? What's
18 today's equipment versus what was studied in
19 2015?

20 A. So I would say the equipment is very, very
21 similar in size and components. I know you're
22 probably going to hear, you know, solar modules
23 are, you know, 400 megawatts -- or 400-watt
24 modules versus 700-watt modules. What I'll say

1 about that is, the actual efficiency of those
2 solar cells has not improved that much since
3 2015.

4 What they have done is, they have
5 optimized the panel size and structural
6 integrity of those modules to ensure that they
7 can fit more solar within that module and panel.
8 Because where you save costs then is, you know,
9 replacing that larger component is a lot less,
10 you know, effort from manual laborers.

11 So I would say the equipment and levels of
12 EMF are very similar.

13 Q. Okay. So my next question is about the types
14 of solar equipment. Are there different types
15 of solar equipment for different climates? For
16 example, if you're putting it in a desert,
17 where it's very high temperatures, versus arctic
18 or subzero temperatures, is there different
19 types of equipment for those different climates?

20 A. So the types of equipment are going to say the
21 same that are required: inverters,
22 transformers, modules, your poles for your
23 interconnection equipment.

24 What's going to change is the actual

1 specifications of those equipment on cooling
2 capabilities and thermal capabilities for, you
3 know, up north, right. But as we can see, there
4 are inverters in Arizona, there's inverters
5 here. The inverters here have to withstand the
6 hundred degrees that's out there today and the
7 subzero temperatures we get frequently.

8 So, you know, just like any type of
9 equipment, they are needing to meet the
10 requirements of, you know, the National Code.
11 Oftentimes that National Code covers all of
12 those temperature ranges.

13 So as far as specific equipment, I would
14 say no. There are different erosion control-
15 type best management practices depending on
16 where you are regionally. But for, you know,
17 actual electrical components, I don't know that
18 there will be.

19 Q. So is there some kind of a sensor -- I'm going
20 to use a word I'm making up here -- that lets
21 you know at the monitoring center that there's,
22 like, an ice -- say there's an ice storm and
23 they are covered with ice and they can't rotate
24 or something like that. Is there sensors that

1 send that information? How does that work?

2 A. So there's a weather station that almost every
3 single facility has at least one, sometimes two
4 or three, depending on the size. Those monitor
5 the, you know, weather conditions on site and
6 take that weather condition and have proprietary
7 programming pieces that are software oriented,
8 They are not hardware; they are software
9 oriented.

10 It would essentially be like you, you
11 know, modifying your phone to look a certain way
12 and have a certain picture, right? Like, it's
13 going to operate for you. Each owner of a solar
14 facility kind of has that proprietary
15 background.

16 What it does do is take those inputs -- so
17 sensor is an appropriate word -- and takes that
18 data, analyzes it and then says, Hey, it's
19 getting really windy, let's put the solar
20 modules into a certain, you know, tilt, right,
21 to manage that wind load at that time.

22 Not necessarily to, you know, prevent
23 breakage of anything. It's to make sure that
24 everything can flow through at a, you know,

1 optimal way and have less impact.

2 I would say the, you know, other
3 component, like you -- an ice storm, those
4 things your homes are subject to, everything
5 that's put onto a solar field has to meet that
6 International Building Code, just like your home
7 does, just like a commercial building does.

8 So, you know, an ice dam, they are going
9 to melt eventually, just like the ice melts off
10 of your house. If it needed to actually get
11 broken off, they would send maintenance crews
12 out to do that. But more often than not they're
13 going to leave it.

14 Q. Okay. So this solar equipment, does it need
15 electricity to operate?

16 A. There are components of the racking, which is
17 the actual structural system that it sits on,
18 that need power to rotate. Then you're
19 powering, you know, the weather station and some
20 very small electronic components and sensors.

21 That electricity is oftentimes produced by
22 the facility during operation. Then as Reuben
23 alluded to, at night it's a very silent
24 neighbor. It sits and kind of just stays there,

1 very still, just like your house with all the
2 lights off, right.

3 So, you know, from that component, yes, it
4 is connected to the grid, both to provide power
5 and then there is oftentimes a small source
6 power coming into the site to power those small
7 electronics, but during operation it's running
8 off of its own power production.

9 Q. Okay. And so then these panels and the racking
10 system, it is meant to withstand a certain wind
11 load then as part of its design --

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. -- from the manufacturer?

14 A. Correct. So not even -- well, so part of the
15 design of the structural engineer from the
16 racking manufacturer.

17 Additionally, the distance that the piles
18 are embedded into the ground vary row to row,
19 depending if it's an exterior row or an interior
20 row. Just like you would have, you know, your
21 exterior crops in a windstorm would be damaged
22 but your interior ones are more protected. Same
23 thing with solar. So those outer piles, you'll
24 tend to see more of them on those rows or

1 slightly bigger. When I say "bigger," they are
2 oftentimes the same size, they are just either a
3 little bit denser material, for strength-wise,
4 or there's, you know, millimeters of difference
5 between, you know, the different pile sizes.
6 That's all structurally engineered for these
7 things.

8 The wind loads for this site in particular
9 you can actually go online and look up. It's
10 call ASCE, American Society of Civil
11 Engineering. That has the latest and greatest
12 ASCE 7, and then there's two codes in there;
13 there's 10 and there's 16. Both are very
14 similar.

15 We would use 16 because it's the most
16 updated wind load data we have. And that wind
17 load I believe was 120 miles per hour for Risk
18 Category 2. That Risk Category 2 is what I have
19 seen solar facilities most often lumped into.
20 Level 1 is really what they are because they are
21 not posing a public risk. Nobody is standing in
22 them.

23 This structure that we're in right now is
24 a Risk Category 3 or 4 because it has enough,

1 you know, space to hold multiple people. So
2 those wind loads are slightly bigger.

3 Nobody is standing on these solar modules.
4 You're not allowed within 20 feet of the solar
5 modules, you know, with the fence. So the risk
6 to public safety is very, very low when it comes
7 to that risk category.

8 Q. Okay. Thank you.

9 So now I have some questions about this
10 DOT research report that you did, Applicant
11 Exhibit Number 9. So my question is, does the
12 soil type affect the distance the ground
13 vibration would travel?

14 A. Yes. And soil type, soil composition, you
15 could have a rock halfway through that and it's
16 going to impact it. So what I alluded to is,
17 this research and this testing was done on a
18 more conservative design assumption.

19 Q. Okay. Does the amount of moisture in the soil
20 affect the distance?

21 A. Depends on the type of soil.

22 Q. Okay.

23 A. So clay, for example, when it gets wet, tends
24 to like to move around a lot more. Just like if

1 you're throwing clay on a wheel or it becomes
2 dry and solid then. Same thing happens when
3 it's out in nature. Sand has a very similar
4 effect.

5 So it does have an impact. The
6 variability in that is somewhat identified in
7 here as, you know, a source of additional, you
8 know, testing, essentially. But that's what
9 that equation is for, is we're taking the curve
10 of the amount of all these different parameters
11 and trying to put a mathematical equation into
12 it, right.

13 And that's really all we can, you know,
14 ask for when it comes to engineering in an
15 environment that varies significantly.
16 Vibration, for example, has more input variables
17 than I could count. You just brought up two,
18 right? There's probably at least 500 more.

19 What we have done is, we have been able to
20 study specific situations, put them on a curve
21 of data points and say, Here's what we are going
22 to call our fitted curve. It's a lot of
23 calculus differential equation stuff that isn't
24 a ton of fun.

1 Q. What about if the soil is frozen?

2 A. That will have different effects as well. I
3 will say that they are not going to pile drive
4 while the soil is frozen.

5 Q. Okay. Just checking.

6 A. Yeah.

7 Q. So when you were testifying, did I hear you say
8 that you thought that the closest mine shaft was
9 300 feet away? Is that what I thought I heard
10 you say?

11 A. I think the distance -- and I guess I don't
12 have the report in front of me. So if you have
13 the actual distance --

14 Q. I don't have that. I didn't hear what you were
15 saying. Your voice kind of went low, so I
16 wanted to make sure I had written it down.

17 MR. SHARP: So, Reuben, I'm going to take
18 a peek at that.

19 A. This was put into evidence last time. It's the
20 Western Land Services document. I believe that
21 the distance to the closest edge of mine, when I
22 measured it, was 300 feet from the subject
23 parcel.

24 Q. (By Ms. Donarski:) Okay. So did you do any

1 studies of the actual mine or type of mine that
2 sits in this vicinity of the Village of Ladd?

3 A. As far as testing of vibrations?

4 Q. Like, did you study anything about the mine or
5 how deep it is, how far away it is or anything
6 like that?

7 A. Based off of the data that was provided by
8 Western Land Services, that's what I have gone
9 off of.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. That's what's most readily available. If there
12 are County records, I'm sure Reuben and myself
13 would be interested in those if you have them.

14 Q. Sure.

15 A. I would say that I did talk to our structural
16 engineer. In the case that a mine is located
17 within the subject parcel, we would find that
18 out during the geotechnical investigation.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. That geotechnical investigation would then tell
21 us how deep that is. We would be required to
22 report it and have a bunch of people come out
23 and look at it and determine what the depth is
24 and what the safe -- safe impact we can have

1 above it is. Based off of that, we can still do
2 this project. We would just use an alternative
3 foundation design.

4 Q. So you used an actual report of the actual area
5 and the location of the actual mine to come up
6 with your testimony?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. That's kind of where I --

9 A. So yes, the distances provided in the Western
10 Land Services and then the vibration distances
11 from the New Hampshire DOT research record are
12 what I looked at to testify that the likelihood
13 of it impacting a mine is negligible.

14 Q. Okay. So I just have a couple -- a few more
15 questions. So what type of pile-driving is
16 generally done? I'm not an expert in this, but
17 I have seen them when they are kind of like
18 boom, boom, boom, like kind of slow, and other
19 times it's kind of like a jackhammer, that it's
20 like bah, bah, bah, bah, bah, bah, like that.

21 A. So the pile drivers that are used on solar
22 facilities most often are going to have kind of
23 a calibrated resistance. So as they hit more
24 resistance, that frequency or the power put into

1 a lot of rain data. How did you account for
2 snow melt?

3 A. Snow melt is accounted for in most of the
4 Atlas 17 rainfall data. In the case that it's
5 not accounted for, we run the hundred-year
6 design storm. The hundred-year design storm is
7 going to be the most conservative design storm.
8 It's widely recognized by FEMA, by the Federal
9 Government, by the State of Illinois as "the"
10 design storm to ensure that your stormwater
11 management can handle it.

12 That design storm intensity, when you have
13 looked at snow melt with a spring thaw rain
14 event, are typically a back-to-back storm event.
15 When we have studied that, the actual peak that
16 happened -- so when you have got, you know, two
17 storm events that happened kind of in iteration,
18 back to back, you have that initial saturation
19 that's happened and then you have additional
20 rain on top of it.

21 That second storm is how we mimic that
22 snow melt event, right? So you have got that
23 first flush of the rain, and then that second is
24 that additional snow melt because of all the

1 rain.

2 What we see is that the peak runoff event
3 from that isn't actually impacted significantly
4 when you're looking at the design criteria of
5 our, I guess, regulated components of the solar
6 system.

7 What I will say is that if that needs to
8 be run, it's been explicitly put into a Code,
9 and the documentation that I have reviewed from
10 various, different local and national regulatory
11 bodies is that it's a negligible event to run
12 because of that.

13 Q. It's not negligible for me. And this goes to
14 Kris's question about the culvert, is that snow
15 melt from the entire eastern side of Route 89
16 and rainwater from that side flows through a
17 culvert underneath the highway, onto the
18 proposed site and through the proposed site.

19 And if your construction in any way blocks
20 that flow, it will flood my house and flood
21 Route 89 by the elevator.

22 A. So we're not allowed to do that, by law. By
23 law, we have to prove that we are not backing up
24 water for a hundred-year storm event onto

1 anybody else's property.

2 The AIMA agreement that GreenKey signed
3 states that we will not negatively impact -- so
4 flooding would be a negative impact --
5 negatively impact neighboring properties. So we
6 have to design that culvert to ensure flow for
7 the design storm event to maintain the same
8 existing flow that's there right now.

9 So if you have flooding issues right now,
10 we haven't done anything out there, and we can
11 monitor that, but that --

12 Q. No, I'm saying that if anything changes that
13 culvert or changes the flow across the site, I
14 will have a flooding issue.

15 A. You will actually see improvement to any
16 flooding issue that you might have, because more
17 of that water is staying within the ground
18 because more of that -- that curve number that I
19 was talking about, that curve number means that
20 more of that water is staying within the plant
21 root systems, the soil. All of that's being
22 absorbed more readily than during the spring
23 thaw where most cropland currently is bare.

24 Q. You mentioned that it takes six months for the

1 vegetation to root. Is that to the 70 percent
2 efficiency?

3 A. That's the 70 percent coverage, and that
4 coverage means that you have -- you know, it
5 would be like me balding versus me being bald,
6 right. There's not bald spots across the land
7 for that coverage to be met. It just means it's
8 not very thick. So that coverage still has to
9 have coverage over across the entire --

10 Q. You're saying in six months it's at that
11 70 percent and it's fully effective for handling
12 all water across the site?

13 A. It is fully effective to meet the requirements
14 and ensure that there's the improvement that
15 we're talking about in the curve number runoff.

16 Now, we run -- as engineers, we run a two-
17 and a five-year storm often for our contracting
18 partners to determine what a bare soil model
19 would look like, assuming that they're going out
20 there and opening up the entirety of the field.

21 We don't have to do that on these sites
22 because that is our starting base model
23 assumption, is a bare crop field, so bare soil.

24 The vegetation management plan for this

1 site in particular has an oat cover crop, and
2 that will be in place before construction
3 starts. So that effectiveness, added to the
4 temporary veg- -- so that's the temporary cover,
5 added to the permanent cover, you'll have that
6 70 percent coverage. And when we're looking at
7 the effectiveness of the ground cover, we are
8 looking at the 0.1 inches, essentially, of
9 ground cover, is what the hydraulic calculations
10 are based off of.

11 Q. And that's the same even in the springtime when
12 the ground is frozen?

13 A. The ground's frozen condition is not studied
14 oftentimes, because that's not when we're going
15 to be out there constructing it, right.

16 Q. But I'm saying that's when the water is flowing
17 across.

18 A. Which in the improved condition, bare, frozen
19 soil versus vegetative cover, just like your
20 lawn, is going to be better.

21 Q. It can't sink in. It's got to go across.

22 A. Correct. That's the same as it is right now,
23 right? As the land sits right now, it's bare
24 earth in the winter. The difference is that it

1 has ridges through it from tilling, and it
2 doesn't have any stubble, oftentimes, equivalent
3 to turf grass or deeper-vegetation grass, right.
4 So with the deeper vegetation, have much more
5 coverage year round and you don't have the row
6 iteration of the soil.

7 What that row -- what the row crops do is
8 it provides channelized flow. Channelized flow
9 means that water is going to be more erosive.
10 When it can stay sheet flow, it's going to be
11 less erosive. When you have more stubble,
12 essentially, on the earth, even in a frozen
13 condition, it's going to slow down that water
14 and make its flow variability increase versus
15 when you have row crops. So you're going to see
16 a net improvement.

17 So I guess what I am saying is, when
18 you're looking at the ground as it's been used
19 for the last however many years as farmland,
20 you're going to see a net improvement for
21 stormwater runoff from an engineering
22 standpoint. The thing that would negate that is
23 if we were going to go out and pave the whole
24 thing, but we're not going to do that.

1 Q. But it's not going to be -- it's not bare earth
2 now. It's no till land. So there's lots of
3 stubble and --

4 A. Which still you're going to have --

5 Q. -- which slows down the water.

6 A. You're going to have bare chunks of earth
7 though, right.

8 Q. The point I was making is that when the ground
9 is frozen and you're having snow melt and heavy
10 rains, you're still going to have water flowing
11 across that site.

12 A. I'm not saying that water is not going to flow.
13 I'm not saying we're stopping water flow, right.
14 I'm saying that the net improvement to the land
15 from the standpoint of ground cover -- all I'm
16 saying is ground cover improvements, that
17 improvement is going to result in better
18 stormwater and erosion control than you
19 currently see in its current state. It doesn't
20 mean we're going to stop water from running
21 across there. It doesn't mean that the thaw and
22 freeze conditions are going to be any different.

23 We're comparing apples to apples, site to
24 site, same square footage, same ground cover.

1 We're just changing the ground cover in the
2 proposed condition to what we're planting.

3 Q. You talked about noise levels being measured.
4 Were those before or after the State reduced the
5 distances from the site to other people's
6 property?

7 A. The State mandates the Pollution -- the
8 Pollution Control Agency mandates the noise
9 levels allowed.

10 Q. Were those levels changed when they reduced the
11 distances?

12 A. The setback distances?

13 Q. Yes.

14 A. I don't believe so. I believe the noise level
15 was matched up with the distance that was seen
16 historically. So that 150-foot setback from
17 residential structure to a solar module --

18 Q. But that is --

19 A. -- is that what you're talking about?

20 Q. That's the new distance though.

21 A. So that's the new distance --

22 Q. Historically the distances were greater.

23 A. The State level distances, no. The local
24 distances, yes.

1 So that 150 foot that the State set as a
2 setback distance was based off of empirical data
3 from them measuring sound levels from solar
4 facilities. So that's how they kind of -- they
5 set that number to make sure that your noise
6 levels were met.

7 Additionally, what they're saying in the
8 AIMA is that you have to prove that those noise
9 levels are met. So if the 150 foot isn't enough
10 to get those noise levels down, that means that
11 you have to still meet that requirement. So
12 what most solar facilities, both from an
13 efficiency standpoint and from a noise barrier
14 standpoint, they are centrally locating that
15 larger equipment. They are putting it in the
16 center of the array or they're putting it in the
17 center adjacent to a roadway, where they are not
18 next to a property.

19 The intent of that is to reduce the length
20 of cable that has to go out to all those array,
21 and then also provide some barrier, to insulate
22 you, from those noises. Because, you know,
23 we're working with all the different landowners
24 across the state, and that's what the intent of

1 that AIMA is.

2 Q. On the EMF levels, were you aware that the
3 World Health Organization considers EMF still an
4 issue and that they are doing long-term studies
5 on low-level EMF at close proximity?

6 A. Are there results of that?

7 Q. No.

8 A. And have they been compared to the EMF levels
9 from your microwave, your cell phone and --

10 Q. No. They are doing the studies to see if
11 there's long-term effects.

12 A. So without specific data, just like I'm not
13 going to ask you to have that data, I can't have
14 that data for you, right? What I do have is --

15 Q. No, I just asked if you are aware of that.

16 A. Am I aware that they are doing long-term
17 studies? Yes, I am. I'm aware that they're
18 doing long-term studies on cell phones and all
19 of our other electrical equipment that we use in
20 our daily life as well. I'm more concerned
21 about the cell phones personally because we have
22 them by our head every day.

23 Q. They did those.

24 On decommissioning, you talked a great

1 deal about what happens if there's damage to
2 equipment.

3 A. Yup.

4 Q. What happens if the company itself goes out of
5 business?

6 A. So, again, the company that's holding that has
7 financial owners that are in those agreements.
8 I'm not going to get into the legal aspect of
9 it, but essentially they still have those
10 insurance policies that are created for this
11 specific situation, where if they do go under
12 those insurance policies still kick in.

13 Q. I'm not aware of an insurance that --

14 A. These insurance policies are based off of the
15 actual facility. They are insuring the actual
16 facility. They are not insuring the company.
17 So the company still has the insurance covering
18 the actual physical facility.

19 Just like your house, if you sell your
20 house --

21 Q. But I'm not worried about the equipment. I'm
22 worried about what happens to that solar farm if
23 the owner goes bankrupt and can't pay the
24 decommissioning expenses?

1 A. That's what all of the legal agreements are
2 for. They are to protect that whole component.

3 So I guess my understanding of the
4 decommissioning process is limited to what I do
5 as an engineer, which is determine what needs to
6 be done to decommission a site to the AIMA
7 requirements. And my understanding, from my
8 experience, is that I have not seen a company go
9 under, and there are lots of legal protections
10 in place through the AIMA to ensure -- we
11 wouldn't be here with an AIMA that has a
12 decommissioning plan at all if it wasn't there
13 to protect the County from having, you know,
14 essentially a financial risk in this entity.

15 I will say that most solar projects
16 outside of Illinois, I don't see decommissioning
17 plans happening. There are just -- there's lots
18 of legal protections with these sites.

19 And I guess my question back to all of you
20 is, would you be asking the same question about
21 a car wash or a Taco Bell or a KFC going under?
22 Because I have a KFC in my own town that's been
23 sitting there, and it went under, and the
24 building is super ugly and it sits there and the

1 County and the City just leave it. But we're
2 not asking those same questions about facilities
3 and developments like that, right?

4 Q. No, the question is, in the first ten years
5 there's only half of the decommissioning that's
6 going to sit in a bond or some type of financial
7 document. And if the company goes bankrupt,
8 only half the cost of taking that equipment out
9 to cover the owners, you know, so that they can
10 get back to farming --

11 A. So if you went bankrupt, what would they do
12 with your assets?

13 Q. I don't know. I'm asking.

14 A. Well, you would have them sold, right, for the
15 value. Or what happens in a divorce when you
16 separate assets? You have a value associated
17 with those.

18 What we're saying is that the value
19 associated with the equipment in those first ten
20 years is high enough that it covers the
21 remaining portion of the decommissioning costs.

22 Q. Isn't that netted out in the --

23 A. That's the salvage cost at the end of life. So
24 what we're looking at is 25 years from now.

1 That salvage value assumes that the usable life
2 of that equipment is no longer of value. We're
3 looking at the scraps, the actual recycled
4 components. So we're looking at steel costs,
5 we're looking at cable, and how much money you
6 can get poundage-wise. We're not looking at the
7 actual equipment components.

8 So there's more value -- just like if your
9 car was, you know, brand-new versus 20 years
10 old, there's more value in that brand-new car,
11 just like there is in a brand-new solar module
12 than it is in a 20-year-old solar module. We're
13 accounting for the 20-year-old value, right?

14 Q. Have you ever done a glare analysis on solar
15 farms?

16 A. I personally have not run a glare analysis. I
17 have had glare analyses done on solar
18 facilities, or as part of a solar development.
19 They come back as non- -- you know, seasonally
20 nonglare concern, insignificant glare to impact
21 aviation and, you know, passersby essentially.

22 The other thing is, these solar modules
23 are coated in an antireflective coating. The
24 whole -- I mean, the whole industry developed

1 that. Because you don't want that solar energy
2 being reflected away. You want it absorbing
3 into the photovoltaic cells to create -- be
4 creating that power.

5 And then, additionally, the FAA has
6 released guidance publicly that they do not
7 require glare studies because they don't have
8 concerns about solar facilities creating glare
9 that would impact their ability to do their job.

10 Q. Even though the glare analysis people claim
11 that the nonglare -- it isn't a hundred percent?

12 A. Can I ask a question? I guess, I don't know,
13 am I out of turn asking questions? I think I
14 probably am.

15 MR. WELBERS: He's supposed to ask you
16 questions, which for the most part he's doing.
17 He lays a little foundation for some of his
18 questions, but for the most part he is asking
19 questions.

20 MR. SHARP: I'm just wondering, can I ask
21 questions back?

22 MR. WELBERS: He's not the witness. Now,
23 you will have --

24 Q. (By Mr. Noll:) My concern is this, if the

1 solar panel is at its -- facing east at its --
2 whatever you call it when --

3 A. Tilt.

4 Q. -- it's leaning, at its angle, highest angle,
5 and the sun is shining directly from the east
6 into it, is there going to be glare off of that
7 to whoever is on the west side of that panel?

8 A. I will tell you right now that I have solar on
9 my home on the side facing the garage. So if I
10 was going to have glare coming into me while I
11 was driving in, I would have that. I do not.
12 From experience, from a solar module in front of
13 me at the full tilt with the sun directly on it,
14 there is not glare. So that's my personal
15 experience.

16 I would also say that the glare study
17 folks are out of a job if we have fully-
18 effective antiglare. So their interest in
19 saying that it's not fully effective may be
20 slightly tainted. I will leave it at that.

21 Q. What happens to all of your sensors and your
22 powering of your panels if Ameren loses power?

23 A. That is part of the --

24 Q. Say there's an ice storm, which we have

1 frequently, and Ameren loses power. How do you
2 know your site's out of commission?

3 A. So all of that is part of their power purchase
4 agreement and their interconnection agreement.

5 Oftentimes, just like you may have a
6 generator at home or battery backup on a device,
7 those solar facilities oftentimes have backup
8 devices to power those critical items.

9 I guess the overall thing that I would say
10 about concerns about power loss and natural --
11 naturally-occurring weather events is that the
12 International Building Code, the National
13 Electrical Code and IEEE all set standards for
14 safety, right, and for operation. This facility
15 has to meet those same things.

16 So just like you can lose power at home, a
17 solar facility can lose power at home and still
18 survive and be just fine. It's actually
19 probably more resilient because it doesn't have
20 water pipes that might freeze if you don't get
21 power back to run your heat.

22 So I would say that the solar facility's
23 impact of not having power is not going to
24 detrimentally affect the long-term functioning

1 of that facility. And if it doesn't operate for
2 the duration of that ice storm, it is not
3 negatively going to impact you sitting there,
4 silent and still, right?

5 Q. I'm going to have power.

6 A. Which is great.

7 Q. But my question was, how will you know?

8 A. They won't potentially, right? They'll either
9 have backup power or they won't. They'll just
10 know that the system is down, and then they'll
11 work with Ameren, just like everybody else would
12 work with Ameren, to get power back.

13 Q. Is this proposed facility having -- does it
14 have battery backup?

15 A. I'm not aware of what the current configuration
16 is. I do know that the interconnection
17 agreement will specify things like if power loss
18 occurs, what happens.

19 MR. NOLL: That's all my questions.

20 MR. WELBERS: Connie.

21 MS. STETSON: Connie Stetson.

22 EXAMINATION

23 BY MS. STETSON:

24 Q. Do you have a study on soil testing underneath

1 these solar panels?

2 A. The current Ladd 2 and 3 solar facilities have
3 not had a geotechnical investigation completed.
4 That is very standard for this level of
5 development of a project.

6 Q. Solar has been around for a while, and there's
7 not a study whatsoever for underneath what
8 happens? Because you're saying minimal lead,
9 but there's other components that could possibly
10 be going down into the ground.

11 Are you willing -- here's a question. Are
12 you willing to do a yearly soil test as a
13 stipulation --

14 A. I guess --

15 Q. -- from the Board?

16 A. I guess, what is the intent of that? Because
17 I --

18 Q. To make sure that the soil is staying correct
19 and not being poisoned.

20 A. Okay. So my understanding of your question
21 was, had a geotechnical investigation been done
22 for this specific site? That means the soils
23 specifically on this site.

24 Has there been soil studies done under

1 solar facilities in general? Yes, there has.

2 Q. Do you have studies to show that there's no
3 contamination?

4 A. I would need to go and pull those studies. I
5 don't have them with me tonight. I am happy to
6 do that and get that information for you.

7 I have not seen any documentation saying
8 that the facility, itself, is contaminating that
9 soil.

10 Q. But you don't have proof? There's no proof to
11 say anything in -- tonight you have no proof,
12 but yet solar has been around, and I would think
13 that would be something that should have been
14 done and started when these solar panels are on
15 farmland.

16 A. So --

17 Q. Because you're saying that this is supposed to
18 be the best farmland in 40 years, but we don't
19 know how poisoned it is from these things.

20 A. What's the question?

21 Q. Are you willing to do -- is GreenKey willing to
22 do a soil test on a yearly basis?

23 A. I do not have --

24 Q. And send those soil tests before you start

1 every year to the County to make sure that
2 there's -- the soil is still good?

3 A. I do not have authorization to provide that.

4 MS. STETSON: Kris Donarski, can I ask you
5 a question?

6 MS. DONARSKI: Sure.

7 MS. STETSON: Is this something that you
8 can stipulate, that a soil test be done on a
9 yearly basis?

10 MS. DONARSKI: I will check into that and
11 find that out. I don't know the answer to that.

12 MS. STETSON: Before this is approved --

13 MS. DONARSKI: Yeah, I'll check into that.

14 MS. STETSON: -- I think a soil test
15 should be done.

16 And I would think that you would have a
17 study, but there is no study.

18 MR. SHARP: Well, do you have a study
19 showing that there is, I guess?

20 Q. (By Ms. Stetson:) What about a maintenance
21 sheet? Do you do yearly maintenance sheets?
22 And do you put those --

23 A. There's an operation and maintenance plan
24 associated with solar facilities, and those are

1 required as part of your AIMA and they are
2 required for operation of the facility, right.

3 Q. I would think soil testing would be within
4 that.

5 A. So soil testing -- I guess my question is, if
6 we have studied soil over time and there's not
7 contamination from the thousands of solar
8 facilities, would we continue to study soil to
9 see if it's contaminated?

10 I'm also going to state that there are
11 multiple solar facilities that are located on
12 landfills and brown fields. Those are
13 contaminated soils and they are --

14 Q. Well, I would like the before you start and a
15 yearly soil test done under all. I think it all
16 should be done, not just with solar. It needs
17 to be done with wind.

18 A. What are you going to study though? What
19 components are you looking for specifically?

20 Q. What damage is the soil being done? You know,
21 we don't know. You don't have -- there's no
22 proof of studies or anything. I think we all
23 need to know if the soil is testing --

24 MR. WELBERS: He's the witness. You're

1 the questioner. He's actually interrogating
2 you. Now, he'll get his chance.

3 MR. SHARP: I won't take it.

4 MR. WELBERS: Follow the procedure. Don't
5 get me in trouble.

6 Q. (By Ms. Stetson:) I'm just saying, can there
7 be a soil test done? And I did ask Ms. Donarski
8 if we could put that down as a stipulation.

9 MR. WELBERS: And she was going to
10 research that.

11 A. And I said I don't have the authority to put
12 that in there.

13 MR. WELBERS: And that was your answer,
14 yes.

15 Q. (By Ms. Stetson:) All right. Now I have
16 another question. You are an engineer?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. You're not financial?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Why are you talking about the financial
21 agreements?

22 A. Because I have completed the decommissioning
23 plans, and my company put out the documentation
24 on -- and it's publicly available -- on the

1 topics that I covered, which were the typical
2 agreements that are associated with a solar
3 facility, because my company does do entitlement
4 and permitting compliance work.

5 Q. Okay. The financial agreements, who are they
6 with, the County or a landowner or --

7 A. Again --

8 Q. Who are they with?

9 A. I'm not going to speak to that, because that is
10 the component of it that is legal. I'm telling
11 you the financial documents and components that
12 are typical of a solar facility, including
13 insurance agreements.

14 Q. But you're saying that there's financial
15 agreements, but you don't know with whom?

16 A. They vary between sites, and I don't have the
17 knowledge or the documentation in front of me to
18 tell you who those agreements are with.

19 Q. It's because you're --

20 A. I also know that most of that information does
21 not need to be made publicly available as part
22 of this Conditional Use.

23 Q. Well, it does. I do believe it should be
24 because, again, you could go bankrupt -- and

1 when I say -- you know, this gentleman behind me
2 said, If you go bankrupt, who's going to pay the
3 balance? Well, you said, We're insured.

4 If you're going bankrupt, you're not going
5 to have enough money -- you may not have even
6 paid your insurance bill, is what I'm saying.

7 So who's paying for it?

8 A. I am not the person who can answer that for
9 you.

10 Q. Exactly.

11 A. I believe Reuben did a great job of informing
12 you of what he is aware of with the current
13 project on the table.

14 What I will say is that from a Conditional
15 Use Permit, it is not required that all of that
16 is laid out on which insurance policies they
17 have. I was providing that information to
18 provide peace of mind that most typical solar
19 facilities have these insurance policies in
20 place, along with the OEM warranties.

21 Q. Okay. So what we need is the financial person
22 up there talking about this information and not
23 an engineer.

24 MR. WELBERS: Is that a question?

1 MS. STETSON: Yes.

2 A. Yes, you had a financial person previously, the
3 developer of the project, and he answered your
4 questions, I believe.

5 Q. (By Ms. Stetson:) I don't think he did, not
6 that question, because you brought it up.

7 A. I can't answer that question specifically.

8 Q. Who has the proof of insurance? Who has a copy
9 of that?

10 A. I cannot answer that question as my testimony.

11 Q. Okay. Then you shouldn't have talked about it.

12 A. I was providing reference material in relation
13 to typical solar facilities and the agreements
14 that are part of the final building permit and
15 permitting process of a typical solar facility.

16 Q. Okay. Well, financial agreements maybe should
17 be talked about with the financial person, not
18 the engineer. So maybe we should have somebody
19 else talk about that.

20 MR. WELBERS: You'll get a second chance
21 with Reuben when he presents Ladd Solar 3, and
22 you can add that to your questions for him.
23 He's the financial person.

24 Q. (By Ms. Stetson:) Just, you know, there's also

1 the government subsidies. Do you know anything
2 about that?

3 A. It is not part of my testimony that I am here
4 for today.

5 Q. You shouldn't have brought up financial
6 agreements if you don't have all the information
7 to talk about it.

8 MR. WELBERS: Are you good, Connie?

9 MS. STETSON: Yes, I am done. Thank you.

10 MR. WELBERS: Mr. Noll.

11 MR. NOLL: I have got a couple more
12 follow-ups.

13 EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. NOLL:

15 Q. We queried Reuben about the panel -- source of
16 panels, and you talked about there being a very
17 minute amount of lead, although, from what we
18 understood from Reuben's testimony, you don't
19 know where those panels are coming from at this
20 time.

21 A. I am not part of the procurement process of the
22 solar facility.

23 Q. Then how do you know how much lead was in them?

24 A. I was speaking to the amount of lead used in a

1 typical solar module that is procured for the
2 solar facilities that I have worked on the
3 engineering for.

4 Q. Okay. And those panels are normally made
5 where?

6 A. I do not have all of that documentation, and
7 they vary across solar facilities. I can get
8 that information for you though. I think it's
9 also publicly available for any Illinois project
10 that's been completed.

11 Q. From doing some research, the German firm that
12 is mentioned in the application went bankrupt
13 and was acquired by a South Korean firm, and
14 those panels are now made in Asia. And recent
15 MSDS sheets have been tested, and the lead
16 content from an independent lab has come back
17 higher than on the MSDS sheets.

18 A. I am not part of the procurement process of
19 this solar facility, and that part has not been
20 completed. The documentation provided as part
21 of the application meets the requirements of the
22 Conditional Use Permit application.

23 Q. I was going to ask, in your experience then,
24 are you finding that solar panels are all coming

1 with more lead than are stated?

2 A. My personal experience, no.

3 Q. On vegetative screening, you mentioned that
4 that's something that's usually negotiated
5 between the landowner and GreenKey?

6 A. I said the most successful situations that I
7 have seen to get viewshed impact mitigated
8 happens when a developer and the individual
9 landowner come to an agreement.

10 Q. There were real estate documents or a report
11 handed out at the last meeting, and were you
12 aware that every one of those that reported,
13 like, a -- not a decline in home value, was
14 screened, vegetatively or with buildings?

15 A. I have reviewed those reports, and I understand
16 that. My testimony was to the fact that I have
17 seen varying degrees of success in vegetative
18 screening, and that the most impactful happens
19 when a landowner works to get vegetation on
20 their own parcel where the mitigation is
21 improved.

22 I believe many of the studies around the
23 home values are the -- associated with the
24 screening type where they wrap essentially the

1 solar facility with.

2 Q. With vegetation?

3 A. With vegetation.

4 Q. Yeah.

5 A. That typically is the best vegetation screening
6 for those situations because they're backing up
7 to a subdivision. So in rural areas, where your
8 home is further away from the solar facility,
9 your viewshed mitigation -- if the tree is
10 planted at the back of the room versus if the
11 tree is planted here, it's going to be -- I
12 guess from your standpoint, if it's planted
13 right where that chair is versus back by me,
14 you're going to have a lot more viewshed
15 mitigation if the tree is planted closer to you.

16 So my testimony was indicating that yes,
17 vegetation management can be successful, but in
18 rural areas such as this, it's more impactful
19 when a landowner can come to an agreement where
20 you fill in any gaps in the current screening --
21 or vision mitigation with the trees at the
22 property versus at the solar project.

23 Q. Has GreenKey used vegetative screening on any
24 of the sites?

1 A. Has GreenKey?

2 Q. GreenKey.

3 A. I don't personally have any of that
4 information. I believe Reuben would be able to
5 speak to that.

6 Q. Because that would be a major concern for the
7 residences that are located near the site, to
8 have screening for that landscape. I understand
9 that can be stipulated also by the County.

10 A. I was just testifying as to what I have seen be
11 most successful in previous solar projects. I
12 am not saying yes or no to vegetative screening.
13 I don't have that power.

14 MR. WELBERS: Are you good?

15 MR. NOLL: Yeah.

16 MR. WELBERS: Dave. Tell the court
17 reporter -- state your name.

18 MR. FLAHERTY: David Flaherty.

19 EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. FLAHERTY:

21 Q. You are going into all these studies about
22 what's under the rows. Do you find any fingers
23 from the glacial lakes that -- you know, the
24 glacial water basin?

1 A. Did we find any glacial erosion?

2 Q. Fingers.

3 A. Erosion fingers, not actual human fingers?

4 Q. Yes. Some of that comes up -- the water comes
5 up closer to the ground.

6 A. So the actual geotechnical investigation has
7 not been completed.

8 Q. Okay.

9 A. If there were those glacial fingers in the soil
10 profile, we would see those in the geotechnical
11 report. So when that's completed, we would then
12 address those.

13 Q. Okay. If there is, I would like a report of
14 it.

15 MR. SHARP: Reuben, is that something that
16 we can provide?

17 I guess I will let Reuben indicate whether
18 or not that's something he can provide when he
19 comes back up here.

20 MR. FLAHERTY: Okay.

21 MR. WELBERS: Are you good?

22 MR. FLAHERTY: That's it.

23 MR. WELBERS: Any other questions? Are
24 you good?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

(No verbal response.)

MR. WELBERS: Jim, were you -- you had questions?

MR. NERAD: No, I think they answered them.

MR. WELBERS: He answered all that. Other questions from our Board specifically?

MS. SMITH: I had a couple.

MR. WELBERS: Go ahead.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. SMITH:

Q. I just wanted a clarification of, when you were talking about decommissioning, you just mentioned that you have done over two dozen. Were you talking about actual decommissions or reports?

A. Yeah.

Q. That wasn't clear for me.

A. The decommissioning plan.

Q. Okay. The plan.

A. So the actual document.

Q. I assumed that, but I know what happens when you assume something.

1 A. Yeah.

2 Q. And my second question is, these reports, the
3 report, the DOT research report, is 12 years old
4 as well. I mean, it's older than the other.

5 A. Yeah.

6 Q. There's been a lot of advancement in vehicles
7 and solar, you know, all these things. Are some
8 of the advancements in the equipment that you
9 will be using for these pile-drivings, would
10 they be improved to do less noise? Would they
11 be the same roughly? I mean, is there any other
12 updated report with regard to that issue?

13 A. Yeah, I am not aware of one. This is the
14 documentation associated with, I guess, the
15 construction equipment study that was done.

16 What I will say is that the equipment for
17 pile-driving that I have seen on sites, the
18 improvement has been in the technology aspect of
19 it in the sense of getting much closer to the
20 elevation they want to hit and the actual
21 locating of the pile with GPS, not so much the
22 actual mechanics that would have impacts on the
23 vibrations.

24 Q. Then actually that might make it less of a

1 problem?

2 A. Potentially, yes.

3 Q. Potentially, correct?

4 A. It could.

5 MS. SMITH: Okay. That's what -- my
6 question is answered. Thank you.

7 MR. SHARP: Yup.

8 MR. WELBERS: Any other questions?

9 MR. GRANDON: I would like to ask just a
10 couple of clarifying question, if I may.

11 Don't worry, I won't be too hard on you.

12 MR. SHARP: Perfect.

13 EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. GRANDON:

15 Q. So taking, you know, very seriously what the
16 Village of Ladd had expressed as some other
17 concerns about the solar project. One of those
18 I don't think we have covered quite yet by your
19 testimony, so I just wanted to get it on record.

20 One of the concerns sited was concerns of
21 electromagnetic fields elevating the risk of
22 fire. So based on your experience working with
23 solar and your review of the EMF report that you
24 submitted, do you see there being any elevated

1 risk of fire from this facility?

2 A. No. Again, all of the, I guess, fire
3 protection components of a solar facility have
4 to meet the same building standard codes from
5 the National Electric Code and IEEE.

6 Q. Then just one other follow-up question,
7 speaking about the NH DOT study that you
8 referenced and testified to.

9 Can you just, for clarity, reiterate if
10 there will be any impact beyond the footage that
11 you talked about, but also can you talk about
12 the pile-driving equipment that is cited in the
13 study and how that might compare to what you see
14 as pile-driving equipment to your point on a
15 solar facility?

16 A. Yeah. So I guess I'll take the latter
17 question, and I might have to have you re-ask
18 the first question.

19 So the latter is, the pile equipment
20 that's associated with this document is the
21 larger bridge construction-type pile-driving
22 equipment. So the pile that we are talking
23 about on a solar facility, to your point, is
24 much smaller typically. They're not going to go

1 out there with larger equipment than required to
2 drive the size of pile that they need. So the
3 mechanical power required to drive that pile is
4 much less than what you would see at a bridge
5 or, you know, a typical road construction
6 project.

7 What was the first part?

8 Q. So in your testimony you pointed out the table
9 on Page 14.

10 A. Yup.

11 Q. And we're looking at the pile-driving at a
12 certain number of feet?

13 A. Yeah.

14 Q. So just piggy-backing off of the point that was
15 just made, we could expect that to be even less
16 because of the pile driver?

17 A. From my experience, both from engineering
18 school and in practice and then review of this
19 document, the impacts of the pile-driving
20 activities at this solar facility as part of the
21 construction at 50 feet away, which is outside
22 of the subject parcel, will not have impacts
23 that would negatively impact structures and, in
24 fact, are most likely less than what's listed

1 here.

2 MR. GRANDON: Thank you.

3 MR. WELBERS: You're good.

4 MR. GRANDON: Yeah, thank you.

5 MS. SMITH: I have another question.

6 EXAMINATION

7 BY MS. SMITH:

8 Q. Regarding fire --

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. -- we recently heard about, you know, some
11 electric cars catching on fire, and they're
12 still burning, you know.

13 What would happen if there were a fire?
14 Lightning strike, something caused a fire, can
15 you kind of explain what would happen? I mean,
16 we're out in the country; fire trucks don't get
17 there real quick?

18 A. Yeah, I grew up in rural Minnesota. The
19 closest fire department was 15 minutes away. So
20 I get the concern.

21 The, I guess, good news is that most of
22 the solar facilities, at least the major
23 components, have the, you know, fire-resistant
24 components to them as, you know, just part of

1 their manufacturing data sheet, right?

2 I guess the reference point I would
3 provide would be, I have full confidence in our
4 electrical and building code to the point that I
5 have those same solar modules that we're talking
6 about and micro versions of those inverters on
7 my own home. And my comfort level with that is
8 the same if I were in rural Minnesota or here.

9 As far as what would actually happen with
10 the four components, what the arrays are made
11 of, at least the solar modules are made of, are
12 aluminum and silicon, sand; components that
13 aren't super flammable. Then on top of that,
14 the vegetation that lies underneath of it, with
15 the type of vegetation it is, it tends to hold a
16 lot more moisture. So the fire resistance of
17 that, just surrounding it, is going to be better
18 than, say, a home with structures nearby.

19 Then the, you know, inverters, for
20 example, would be similar to, you know, if an
21 inverter caught on fire as part of a house fire,
22 right, or an electrical component. You can put
23 those out in a similar manner.

24 I would say, you know, the time it takes

1 for them to get out to the solar facility is
2 going to be similar to many of these residents.
3 I would say that I would treat it similarly,
4 although the risk of fire for a brand-new
5 electrical facility with the updated building
6 codes, and specific to a commercial facility,
7 are higher than that of, like, a residential
8 structure that was built, you know, 50 to a
9 hundred years ago.

10 Q. Another question with regard to the same issue.
11 Most of the -- not most. A lot of the fire
12 departments are volunteer. Are those people
13 going to be trained? Is there going to be some
14 special, you know, knowledge for them to know
15 how to deal with it?

16 A. I will let Reuben speak to that, as the
17 developer. But I will say, from my experience I
18 have also seen developers partner with local
19 fire departments for trainings specifically.

20 MR. WELBERS: Are you good?

21 MS. SMITH: Uh-huh, good.

22 MR. WELBERS: Any other questions?

23 State your name, please.

24 MS. NERAD: Karen Nerad.

EXAMINATION

1
2 BY MS. NERAD:

3 Q. So you're talking about fires, okay, that she
4 had asked about, about catching fires. Isn't
5 there a wire that goes to these modules or, you
6 know, something? Isn't there some kind of wire?

7 A. Yeah.

8 Q. Okay. Can't they have faulty -- you know, a
9 faulty design or faulty -- when they're put in,
10 that they can catch fire, like make a spark off
11 of it?

12 A. I'm not specifically an electrical engineer.
13 And in my experience of developing solar
14 facilities, the Electrical Code requires
15 detailed studies of solar facilities to ensure
16 that they meet the NEC Code requirements, which
17 means that the risk of those starting on fire is
18 equivalent or less than the same type of error
19 happening in the construction of a home.

20 Q. Okay. So say, what if there is a fire? I'm
21 just saying, what if there is? And you have got
22 firemen that come out there, you're putting
23 water on electrical. That -- doesn't that just
24 expand, you know, make the fire go more? Don't

1 they have to use foam?

2 And are these, you know, fire
3 departments -- I don't know if Ladd -- because
4 we're in Ladd Fire District. I don't know if
5 Ladd's equipped to have this equipment, the
6 foam, to put these fires out.

7 A. So my understanding of a solar facility is
8 there are safety protocols put in place as far
9 as an operation and maintenance plan as part of
10 that emergency response plan, and those include
11 emergency shutoff locations.

12 My residential solar has an emergency
13 shutoff location in case of a fire or anything
14 going wrong with the system. Similar case with
15 these facilities.

16 As far as the foam, I'm not aware of the
17 specifics of the firefighting equipment required
18 for that. What I will say is that fire
19 departments have been partnering with developers
20 to determine what the, you know, emergency
21 response plan needs to be and their comfort
22 level with that.

23 MR. WELBERS: Are you good, Karen?

24 MS. NERAD: Yeah.

1 MR. NOLL: Chris Noll.

2 EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. NOLL:

4 Q. Even with the emergency shutoff though, aren't
5 the cells still producing DC electricity?

6 A. I'm not an electrical engineer and I'm not part
7 of the fire -- I guess I don't have expertise in
8 firefighting of a solar facility fire.

9 What I will say is that part of the
10 operation and maintenance plan and part of the
11 emergency response plan clearly lays out safe
12 ways to put out fires for solar facilities.

13 MR. WELBERS: State your name.

14 MS. FLAHERTY: Pam Flaherty.

15 EXAMINATION

16 BY MS. FLAHERTY:

17 Q. Can you speak to any place that you are
18 familiar with that has had a fire on a solar
19 farm?

20 A. I am not familiar with any.

21 Q. You have never seen a fire in a solar farm?

22 A. Of the ones that I have been part of, no. I
23 have watched the news, just like anybody else
24 has.

1 MR. WELBERS: Go ahead, Tim. Tim Pratt.

2 EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. PRATT:

4 Q. What did you see in the news that everybody
5 else is seeing?

6 A. I have seen solar facilities on fire.

7 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.

8 A. Just like a house can start on fire, just like
9 a commercial facility can start on fire.

10 And the intent of my testimony is to say
11 that there is an emergency response plan and an
12 operation and maintenance plan, just like any
13 commercial building would be required to have as
14 part of their occupancy.

15 MR. WELBERS: Are we good for questions
16 now?

17 (No verbal response.)

18 MR. WELBERS: Your testimony is complete
19 on this application. Thank you very much.

20 MR. SHARP: I appreciate the opportunity.

21 MR. WELBERS: What would you like to do
22 next, Reuben?

23 MR. GRANDON: Can I talk to Mark real
24 quick?

1 MR. WELBERS: Go ahead.

2 MR. GRANDON: So, Mr. Welbers, we had
3 submitted a Property Value Report to the record.
4 We were here previously, and the author -- or
5 coauthor of that report is not here to testify
6 tonight, but they will be if the date works out
7 for the next selected hearing. Which we have
8 been with the Zoning Administrator. If this is,
9 in fact, continued, we have a date in mind where
10 she can be available.

11 So with that, could we go ahead and
12 continue with the public testimony and public
13 comment on the application, since there are
14 folks here tonight to testify?

15 MR. WELBERS: You have got a real estate
16 witness that is not available tonight that you
17 want to put into the record?

18 MR. GRANDON: Yeah, that's correct. We
19 could not get everybody here at the same date.

20 MR. WELBERS: I understand.

21 Are there other witnesses that you have,
22 or is the real estate expert the last one on
23 this application?

24 MR. GRANDON: Yeah, we don't have any

1 additional witnesses on Ladd 2 here tonight or
2 on -- you know, intending to bring anybody else
3 for Ladd 2.

4 MR. WELBERS: So after the real estate
5 witness, you would then rest, and then, of
6 course, the public could then begin their
7 testimony, subject to cross-examination.

8 Now, your request is we let them start
9 theirs now?

10 MR. GRANDON: Yeah, my request is --

11 MR. WELBERS: We don't traditionally do
12 that. We traditionally finish this and then
13 we'll go to the rest.

14 That's correct, right?

15 MS. DONARSKI: Correct, because it would
16 rob them of their opportunity to comment on the
17 witnesses that have not been -- so the
18 Applicant, you rest yours, and then they comment
19 and then -- that we do that, you know, for
20 cross-examination purposes.

21 MR. WELBERS: Now, we could table this,
22 which we're going to have to do for the real
23 estate. And my opinion, if you would like to
24 start to present Ladd Solar 3, you are the

1 witness that starts that off and you are here.
2 We could probably do that. You know, take a
3 break for a minute, and then you could begin
4 that presentation and maybe could complete it.
5 We have two hours to go.

6 MR. GRANDON: That would be fantastic,
7 yeah.

8 MR. WELBERS: So if you didn't hear what I
9 just said, they do have one other witness they
10 want to present. And our procedure is to let
11 the Developer make their case and all their
12 witnesses subject to all the cross-examination,
13 and then we then turn to the public for their
14 testimony, which, of course, is subject to
15 cross-examination.

16 So what we would like to do is table this
17 case until their real estate expert could come,
18 but then we would open up the application for
19 Ladd Solar 3, Reuben being the witness. I would
20 read into the record all the things I read in on
21 Ladd Solar 2, and then he would make his
22 presentation, subject to all your cross-
23 examination. Is that clear?

24 Okay. Now, we talked about a date of

1 Monday night, September 16th, at 7:00 p.m.

2 Is that when your real estate person could
3 be here?

4 MR. GRANDON: Yes, that works for us.

5 MR. WELBERS: So I would move to table
6 Ladd Solar 1 -- Ladd Solar 2, Ladd Solar 2,
7 until September 16th, Monday night, 7:00 p.m.,
8 here at the Bureau County Courthouse.

9 MR. FORRISTALL: 7:00 or 6:00?

10 MS. DONARSKI: It's at 7:00.

11 MR. WELBERS: 7:00.

12 Second to that?

13 MS. SMITH: I second it.

14 MR. WELBERS: Okay. All in favor.

15 (All those simultaneously
16 responded.)

17 MR. WELBERS: Okay. We're going to table
18 this. Then we'll come back on September 16th,
19 listen to the real estate expert, and then we'll
20 go to all your testimony, subject to cross-
21 examination.

22 Just take five minutes, something like
23 that, and then I will begin to read into the
24 record. We will open up Ladd Solar 3, and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Reuben will testify. So there are questions regarding fire response and things that are fresh in everyone's mind, and Reuben will be here to talk about that.

This one is Ladd Solar 2. This one is Ladd Solar 3. So yeah, we'll get back together at 8 o'clock or just a few minutes after.

(The hearing was recessed at
6:00 p.m.)

1 Now on this 26th day of August, A.D., 2024, I
2 do signify that the foregoing testimony was given
3 before the Bureau County Zoning Board of Appeals.
4
5
6
7

8 Barry Welbers, Chairman
9
10
11

12 Kristine Donarski,
13 Zoning Enforcement Officer
14
15

16 -----
17 *Callie S. Bodmer*

18 Callie S. Bodmer
19 Certified Shorthand Reporter
20 Registered Professional Reporter
21 IL License No. 084-004489
22 P.O. Box 381
23 Dixon, Illinois 61021
24